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Widespread implementation of SBIRT remains elusive in U.S.

3 years ago, Oregon saw a big increase in billing for SBI

This increase correlated with a Medicaid SBIRT performance metric

Questions:

- Can/should this performance metric be replicated elsewhere?
- What lessons can be learned from Oregon’s experience?
“A public health approach to the delivery of early intervention and treatment services for people with substance use disorders and those at risk of developing these disorders.”
Alcohol and Drug Misuse (SBIRT)

Measure Basic Information

Name and date of specifications used: OHA developed these specifications in collaboration with OHSU and CCOs, based on coding recommendations developed by CMS and SAMHSA, while using HEDIS specifications for identifying ambulatory outpatient care services to identify unique outpatient recipients by plan.

URL of Specifications: N/A

Measure Type:
- HEDIS
- PQI
- Survey
- Other

Specify: OHA-developed

Measure Utility:
- CCO Incentive
- Core Performance
- CMS Adult Set
- CHIPRA Set
- State Performance
- Other

Specify:

Data Source: MMIS/DSSURS


2013 Benchmark: 13%; from Metrics & Scoring Committee consensus.
2014 Benchmark: 13%; from Metrics & Scoring Committee consensus
2008:

- 5-year SAMHSA award
- Resident SBIRT training
- 5 residencies
- Implemented SBIRT into 7 primary care clinics
SBIRT clinic workflow

Waiting room

Adult brief screen

Exam room

AUDIT / DAST

Exam room

Brief intervention or Referral to treatment

Reception

Medical assistant

Clinician
SBIRT workflow resembles pipeline

Screening tools(s) → Brief intervention and/or RT → Documentation & billing

Step(s) not always performed, or not always captured in the EHR.
SBIRT timeline in Oregon

2009:

- SBIRT reimbursement codes “turned on” by Oregon Medicaid
- State legislature creates Oregon Health Authority:
  - Now under one roof: Public Health, Addictions & Mental Health, Medicaid, Public Employees Benefits Board etc.
SBIRT timeline in Oregon:

2010:
- Governor Kitzhaber elected
- ACA passed nationally

2011:
- Oregon faces $1.9B shortfall in Medicaid budget
Oregon Medicaid shortfall

Traditional budget balancing:

1. Cut people from care
2. Cut provider rates
3. Cut services

4th way: Change how care is delivered.

- Reduce waste, improve health, create local accountability, align financial incentives, pay for performance and outcomes, create fiscal sustainability
2012:

- Oregon agrees to transform Medicaid in exchange for $1.9B waiver from Feds
- State legislature creates Coordinated Care Organizations
How Oregon CCOs differ from ACOs

• Responsible for the full integration of physical, behavioral and oral health, held to one budget
• Must include members and agencies that address social determinants of health
• Community Advisory Board: 51% consumers, representatives from community service providers
• Must perform Community Needs Assessment and create Community Health Improvement Plan
• Regional effort to create “community solutions” with multiple clinical and non clinical stakeholders
• Responsible for health outcomes and receive incentives for quality
17 incentive measures

- Access to care
- Adolescent well-care visits
- **SBIRT**
- ED utilization
- Colorectal cancer screening
- Controlling hypertension
- Depression screening and follow-up
- Developmental screenings in the first 36 months of life
- Diabetes HbA1c poor control
- Early elective delivery
- EHR adoption
- Follow-up after hospitalization for mental illness
- Follow-up for children prescribed ADHD medication
- Mental and physical health assessments for children in DHS custody
- PCPCH enrollment
- Prenatal and postpartum care
- Satisfaction with care
SBIRT timeline in Oregon

2012:

- OHA begins formulating metrics for Incentive measures for 2013 start
- SBIRT measure will include drug and alcohol use
### Thumbnail summary of evidence behind SBIRT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BI for risky or harmful alcohol use</th>
<th>RT for severe alcohol use</th>
<th>BI for risky or harmful drug use</th>
<th>RT for severe drug use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescents</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- ✓ Good evidence for reducing patient self-reported use
- X Good evidence for null effect on patient self-reported use
- ? Insufficient evidence to determine effect
Creating the SBIRT metric

Numerator:
- Screening
- Brief intervention
- Referral to Treatment

\[ \geq \] Benchmark

Denominator:
Medicaid visits of pts age 18+ in calendar year
Common eval methods:

- Claims data (billing codes)
- EHR reports
- Randomly selected chart reviews
- Surveys of staff and patients
- Standardized patients
- Triangulation
Creating the ratio

- S codes
- BI codes
- RT codes

Visit codes of pts age 18+ in calendar year ≥ ?
# Screening codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Payer</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Screening only</td>
<td>Medicaid &amp; Commercial</td>
<td>CPT 99420</td>
<td>• Administration and interpretation of a health risk assessment instrument.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td>G0442</td>
<td>• Screening for alcohol misuse in adults once per year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- CPT 99420 should be appended to E/M service with modifier 25.
Where CPT99420 applies

- Brief screen
- AUDIT or DAST
- Brief intervention or Referral to treatment

Not billable

CPT 99420
Screening codes available for alcohol or drug use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Payer</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full screen only</td>
<td>Medicaid &amp; Commercial</td>
<td>CPT 99420</td>
<td>• Administration and interpretation of a health risk assessment instrument.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td>G0442</td>
<td>• Screening for alcohol misuse in adults once per year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OHA-approved diagnosis codes

ICD-9:

• V79.1  
  (Screening for Alcoholism)

• V82.9  
  (Screening for Unspecified Condition)
## Incentive measure screening codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Payer</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full screen only</td>
<td>Medicaid &amp; Commercial</td>
<td>CPT 99420 plus V79.1 or V82.9</td>
<td>• Administration and interpretation of a health risk assessment instrument.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td>G0442</td>
<td>• Screening for alcohol misuse in adults once per year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Creating the ratio

- CPT 99420 (plus V79.1 or V82.9)
- BI codes
- RT codes

\[ \geq ? \]

Medicaid visits of pts age 18+ in calendar year
# Brief intervention codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Payer</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full screen + brief</td>
<td>Medicaid &amp; Commercial</td>
<td>CPT 99408</td>
<td>≥15 minutes of aggregate clinic time spent administrating and interpreting a validated alcohol or drug screening tool, plus performing a face-to-face brief intervention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td>G0396</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medicaid &amp; Commercial</td>
<td>CPT 99409</td>
<td>• Same as above, only ≥ 30 minutes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td>G0397</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Time requirements results in rare use of these codes
- Two providers required to bill 99408/9 (until recently)
FYI: Tobacco cessation code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Payer</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brief intervention</td>
<td>Medicaid &amp; Commercial</td>
<td>CPT 99406</td>
<td>• Smoking and tobacco cessation counseling visit; greater than 3 minutes up to 10 minutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Where CPT codes apply

Brief screen in the waiting room

Exam room

AUDIT or DAST

CPT 99420

Not billable

Exam room

Brief intervention or Referral to treatment

CPT 99408

CPT 99409
Who can independently bill for SBI

Oregon Medicaid:
- Physicians
- Physician Assistants
- Nurse Practitioners
- Licensed Clinical Psychologists
- LCSWs
- Licensed Professional Counselors
- Licensed Marriage and Family Therapists

Medicare:
- Physicians (MD, DO only)
- Physician Assistants
- Nurse Practitioners
- Licensed Clinical Psychologists
- LCSWs
- Certified Nurse Midwives
- Clinical Nurse Specialists

OHA, 2014
Possible alternative: Incident-to billing

• Any clinic employee under supervision can bill for SBI

• Examples:
  – CADCs, Health Educators, Registered Nurses, Clinical Nurse Specialist, Students or Graduates entering medical profession, Community Health Workers

• Some limitations apply

OHA, 2014
The pt was given a AUDIT screening form today. The total score indicates use that increases the risk of related health problems.

In discussing this issue, my medical advice was that the patient cut back to no more than 14 drinks per week or 4 per day. The pt’s readiness to change was 6 on a scale of 0 - 10. We explored why it was not a lower number and discussed the patient’s own motivation for change. The patient agreed to cut back and to make a follow up appointment in 8 weeks.

Total clinic time administering and interpreting the screening form, plus performing a face-to-face brief intervention with the patient was greater than 15 minutes.
Reaching out to CMS

Issues raised in letter:

• 15 minute minimum
• Limited personnel who can bill independently
• Confusion around incident-to rules

Marilyn Tavenner
Administrator
Chief Operating Officer
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
7500 Security Boulevard
Baltimore, MD 21244

Ms. Tavenner,

We are writing to ask CMS to clarify and modify Medicare reimbursement policies that are hindering the delivery and spread of proven cost-saving and health-promoting preventative service.

Implementation of SBIRT (Screening, Brief Intervention, Referral to Treatment), an evidence-based method that addresses substance abuse in medical settings, is seen as a priority at both the federal and state levels. The Department of Health and Human Services continues to award millions in grant funding to promulgate SBIRT implementation, and the White House Office of Drug Control recently recommended SBIRT as a key tool in reducing substance abuse in the United States. In Oregon, the Oregon Health Authority has adopted SBIRT as a key performance measure that clinics must sustainably implement in order to receive bonus payments.

Despite widespread support at the policy level, SBIRT continues to be vastly underemployed with Medicare patients. While 27% of men 65 years and older and 10% of older women report binge-drinking within the last 30 days, only 0.01% of Medicare enrollees received a screening and brief intervention (SBI) procedure code on a medical claim in 2010.

Among the major barriers to SBIRT implementation cited by primary care clinics are understandable problems with Medicare’s policies in the use of billing codes. Billing codes not only allow the reimbursement but also provide state and federal agencies tools to track SBIRT performance. Medicare has created codes specific to SBI processes (G0442, G0443, G0396, and G0397), but the requirements associated with these codes present significant hurdles to health care providers and clinics.

There are three main barriers:

1) 15-minute minimum. Despite evidence that brief interventions as short as 3 minutes can decrease a patient’s alcohol consumption, Medicare requires that SBI last at least 15 minutes. The 15-minute minimum is an arbitrary time requirement not grounded in evidence. It also contradicts the realities of a busy practice, where clinicians address multiple medical complaints in a short amount of time and quickly decide SBI is unrealistic to implement as part of routine care.

Remedy: Remove or reduce the time minimum to reflect the evidence base supporting SBI.

2) Limited types of providers. Medicare limits the types of medical professionals allowed to bill SBI codes independently, prohibiting clinics from utilizing individuals who may have more specialized training and time to spend with patients than clinicians. For example, Medicare
FEB 26 2014

Mark Richardson, MD
Dean, School of Medicine
Oregon Health & Science University
3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road
Portland, Oregon 97239-3096

Dear Dr. Richardson,

Thank you for your letter on behalf of the Oregon Health and Science University and, other colleagues concerning the Medicare program’s administration of alcohol and/or substance abuse (other than tobacco), structured assessment and, intervention (SBIRT) services. Under this letter that you co-wrote with Mr. Evan Saulino, MD, PhD, and Ms. Pam Martin, PhD, you stated that you believe there are three barriers under the Medicare program to improved utilization of SBIRT services when furnishing care to patients who are at risk of alcohol and substance misuse. The barriers that you have identified are as follows:

1. You believe that the 15-minute minimum time requirement for time-based SBIRT HCPCS codes (G0396 and G0397) is arbitrary and too onerous for busy practices. Accordingly, you believe that the minimum time requirement should be reduced.

2. You believe that the list of individuals under Medicare who are authorized to bill and be paid for SBIRT services is too restrictive and therefore, prohibits specially trained individuals other than the listed clinicians for Medicare payment for SBIRT services.
In response to
15 minute minimum for CPT 99408/9:

While we are not able to make any changes to the SBIRT provision under Medicare at this time, we will take your recommendations into consideration as we look for ways to improve overall services furnished under the Medicare program.
In response to limited number of individuals who can bill for SBIRT independently:

All of these clinicians have separately enumerated benefits under the Medicare program that authorize them to receive payment for “physician services” and/or mental health services which include SBIRT services. Any other health care worker who does not have authorization to furnish these services under a specific benefit enumerated under Medicare law is not entitled to Medicare payment for any type services.
In response to confusion surrounding incident-to billing rules

There is no authority under Medicare law to pay for SBIRT services when furnished in an “incident to” capacity. Accordingly, SBIRT services cannot be billed “incident to” under Medicare.
Reaching out, cont.

- Letter to CMS
- White House Office of Drug Control Policy
- AMA
- U.S. Sen. Wyden’s staff
- SAMHSA
“It’s the stupidest SBIRT billing party I ever was at in all my life!” said Alice.
Back to creating the ratio

- CPT 99420 (plus V79.1 or V82.9)
- CPT 99408, 99409
- RT codes

≥ ?

Visit codes of pts age 18+ in calendar year
Creating the ratio

\[
\frac{\text{CPT 99420, } 99408, 99409}{\geq \ ?} \]

Visit codes of pts age 18+ in calendar year

Benchmark rate
Setting a benchmark rate

1. First, identify the rate if perfect implementation occurs
2. Then create benchmark rate that is reasonably lower, or even better: evidence-based
Where CPT codes apply

Brief screen

AUDIT or DAST

Brief intervention or Referral to treatment

Not billable

CPT 99420

CPT 99408

CPT 99409
Determining prevalence

Pts with unhealthy alcohol use (A)
Pts with illicit drug use (B)
Pts with both (C)

A or B = A + B - C
Determining prevalence

Shortcomings of prevalence studies:

- Age and N varies
- Can be outdated
- SUDs measured rather than use
- Time period varies
- Not always specific to primary care

Manwell et al, 1998. Age 18+, 3 months.
Alcohol: 22%
Both: ?
Drugs: 4.8%

Manwell et al, 1998. Age 18+, 6 months.
Creating the ratio

\[
\frac{\text{CPT 99420, 99408, 99409}}{\text{Medicaid visits of pts age 18+ in calendar year}} \geq 22\% \times ?
\]
OHSU SBIRT project workflow

- **Waiting room**
  - Adult brief screen

- **Exam room**
  - Adult full screen

- **Exam room**
  - Brief intervention or Referral to treatment

- **Reception**
- **Medical assistant**
- **Clinician**
SBIRT tools built in 3 EMRs

Brief screen

Annual screen given to patients at front desk during check-in process. Annual “tickler” built into EMR scheduling module to record event.

Full screen

Medical assistant reviews annual screen while roaming patient. If positive, offers AUDIT and/or DAST to be completed in exam room. Results are later entered into a doc flow sheet.

Brief intervention and/or referral

Clinician quickly scores AUDIT/DAST, performs intervention and/or referral, then marks checkboxes. Results are entered later into EMR by MA.

---

OHSU Family Medicine

EMR tickler in “vitals” section alerts medical assistant to verbally administer annual prescreen questions.

Providence Health Systems

Scores placed in EMR prompt medical assistant to hand patient a written AUDIT and/or DAST.

Sky Lake Medical Center/OHSU

Date of last annual screen built into vital signs section of EMR. Annual questions listed on screen for MA to give verbally and score answers.

Medical assistants give written full screens to positive patients, who complete full AUDIT/DAST while waiting in exam room.

Brief intervention performed by physician who marks checkboxes on back of full screen. Data entered by MA afterwards.
Clinic #1

Clinic #2

Clinic #3

Clinic #4

Brief screen given when indicated
AUDIT or DAST given when indicated
Brief intervention done when indicated
Creating the ratio and benchmark

CPT 99420, 99408, 99409
≥ 22% x .6

Medicaid visits of pts age 18+ in calendar year
Creating the ratio and benchmark

CPT 99420, 99408, 99409

\[ \geq 13\% \]

Medicaid visits of pts age 18+ in calendar year
Alternative: Improvement target

- CCOs that do not meet the benchmark can still earn quality pool funds by reducing the gap between their previous year’s performance and the percent benchmark by improving at least 3 percentage points.
Back to the SBIRT timeline in Oregon

2013:
- SBIRT measure goes into effect

2014:
- First performance report published

2015:
- Denominator changed to include pts age 12+
- Benchmark lowered to 12%
- ICD 10 codes in use (Oct)
## Old ICD-9 codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Payer</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full screen only</td>
<td>Medicaid &amp; Commercial</td>
<td><strong>CPT 99420</strong> plus V79.1 or V82.9</td>
<td>• Administration and interpretation of a health risk assessment instrument.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td><strong>G0442</strong></td>
<td>• Screening for alcohol misuse in adults once per year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oct. 2015: ICD-10 codes

- 13 codes: Alcohol abuse ...
- 20 codes: Alcohol dependence ...
- 14 codes: Alcohol use unspecified ...
- 14 codes: Alcoholic ...
- 2 codes: Alcoholic induced ...
- 24 codes: Toxic effect of ....
- 9 codes: Blood alcohol level ...
Approved diagnosis codes

New ICD-10 codes OHA chose to accompany CPT 99420:

- Z13.89: “Encounter for screening for other disorder”
- Z13.9: “Encounter for screening for other diseases and disorders”

Problem: no codes exist that simply indicate “unhealthy alcohol use” or “drug use”
## Incentive measure screening codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Payer</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full screen only</td>
<td>Medicaid &amp; Commercial</td>
<td>CPT 99420 plus Z13.89 or Z13.9</td>
<td>• Administration and interpretation of a full screen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td>G0442</td>
<td>• Screening for alcohol misuse in adults once per year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SBIRT workflow in primary care

Waiting room

Adult brief screen

Not billable

Exam room

CRAFFT

Exam room

AUDIT / DAST

Brief intervention or Referral to treatment

CPT 99420

CPT 99408 99409
Monthly counts of SBIRT codes submitted to OR Medicaid

SBIRT codes “turned on”

SBIRT Incentive Measure in effect

CPT 99420

CPT 99408

CPT 99409
### SBIRT codes submitted to and paid by OR Medicaid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CPT 99420</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(screening only)</td>
<td>2689/3616</td>
<td>15,934/20,343</td>
<td>22,162/27,132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>74.4%</td>
<td>78.3%</td>
<td>81.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CPT 99408</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(screening + brief intervention ≥15 mins)</td>
<td>469/567</td>
<td>2204/2713</td>
<td>3212/4379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>82.7%</td>
<td>81.2%</td>
<td>73.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CPT 99409</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(screening + brief intervention ≥30 mins)</td>
<td>41/66</td>
<td>151/200</td>
<td>483/626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62.1%</td>
<td>75.5%</td>
<td>77.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent of eligible Medicaid visits billed with SBIRT codes, by CCO

- Willamette Vly: 23.7
- Umpqua HA: 16.8, 17.5
- PrimaryHealth: 13.7
- PacificSource - G: 15.9, 16.1
- Western OR: 14.3
- FamilyCare: 14.1
- Yamhill: 13.7
- Intercommunity: 12.2
- Trillium: 11.3
- HealthShare: 10.2, 10.0
- AllCare: 10.0
- Jackson Care: 8.8
- Eastern OR: 8.1
- PacificSource - C: 8.0
- Columbia Pacific: 6.5
- Cascade Health: 4.9, 4.9

Legend:
- = Improvement target met that year

- 2015 age 12+
- 2014 age 18+
- 2013 age 18+
Percent of eligible Medicaid visits billed with SBIRT codes, statewide

- 2013: 2.0%
- 2014: 6.4%
- 2015: 12.7%

Benchmark: 13%
Percent of eligible Medicaid visits billed with SBIRT codes, by race and ethnicity of patients

- Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 1.3% (2013), 6.0% (2014), 13.3% (2015)
- Hispanic/Latino: 1.9% (2013), 6.1% (2014), 13.0% (2015)
- White: 2.0% (2013), 6.6% (2014), 12.8% (2015)
- Asian American: 0.6% (2013), 3.9% (2014), 9.5% (2015)

OHA, 2016
Highest performing CCOs, 2015

- Columbia Pacific CCO 7.5%
- Willamette Valley Community Health 23.7%
- Umpqua Health Alliance 17.5%
- PrimaryHealth of Josephine County 16.8%

Lowest performing CCOs, 2015

- PacificSource – Central OR 8.1%
- Cascade Health Alliance 3.3%
## Highest and lowest SBIRT performing CCOs in 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCO</th>
<th>2015 SBIRT rate</th>
<th>Total members as of Jan 2016</th>
<th>Sq. miles miles of coverage area</th>
<th>Members per square mile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Willamette Valley Comm. Health</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>103,295</td>
<td>~1922</td>
<td>~54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umpqua Health Alliance</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>26,430</td>
<td>~4200</td>
<td>~6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrimaryHealth of Josephine County</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>11,910</td>
<td>~2500</td>
<td>~5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Source Central Oregon</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>52,271</td>
<td>7783</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia Pacific</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>27,100</td>
<td>2906</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cascade Health Alliance</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>18,063</td>
<td>~5500</td>
<td>~3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Observed clinic traits associated with sustainable SBIRT implementation

- Leadership “bought-in” to implementation
- Clinic identifies workflow
- All staff attend training
- Champions identified
- EMR optimized
- Tools employed
Where are we today?

- Finer points of billing still being clarified – **CPT 99420 being discontinued??**
- Clinics and systems still building new/better EMR tools
- Metric to include EMR reports in future
- Referral tracking methods needed
- Inclusion of MAT would be nice
Positive effects so far

- Foundation now laid for improved and continued SBIRT implementation
- Even screening alone may produce benefits for pts
- CCO performance is improving
- Clinics receiving new revenue from use of codes
- Encourages commercial reimbursement
Drawbacks

- Metric fails to measure BI & RT in real world
- Quality of brief intervention unknown, probably poor
- CCOs can be rewarded by skipping brief screen
- Documentation contributes to serious burden for clinicians
- Ironically, metrics can steer clinician focus away from patient care
Lessons learned

- Consider alternatives to using billing codes to track clinic processes
- People who create metrics often unaware of their feasibility and impact
- Changes and clarifications need to be disseminated regularly and clearly
Questions to consider

• Should OHA have used EHR reports to collect data from the beginning?
• Should SBIRT training be required before clinicians can bill?
• Will the SBIRT incentive measure help bend the cost curve?
• Others?
Thanks!

Jim Winkle, MPH
OHSU Family Medicine
winklej@ohsu.edu